# **Resubmitting Your Proposal:**

#### **Tips for Success**









#### **Suzanne Hansford-Bowles**

#### **MU** Extension

#### Judy Healy-Mendez

**MO Prevention Science Institute** 





### Why Do Grant Proposals Fail?

#### Number 1 Reason: The agency or foundation does not have enough *money.*

#### There are more good ideas than there are funds • NO does not mean GIVE UP!





#### National Science Foundation Success Rates

Funding Year	Number Submitted	Number Awarded	Success Rate
FY2019	42,100	8,402	20%
FY2010	55,562	13,015	23%
FY2000	29,407	9,762	33%





# National Institutes of Health

Success Rates for R01 & Equivalent

Year	Success Rate
2008	21.8%
2016	19.9%
2017	19.3%
2018	20.4%





#### NIH – <u>Success rates</u> of R01 Resubmissions in 2019

	Number of applications	Number of awards	Success rate
1st submission	25,707	4,302	16.7%
2nd submission	9,378	3,064	32.7%





## **US Department of Agriculture**

- Over the past five years (Figure 1), AFRI has received a gradual upward trend in funding
- Approximately a 32 percent increase in funding from 2012 (\$264,470,000) to 2016 (\$350,000,000)
- USDA Agriculture and Food Research Initiative 20% success rate in 2016





### **University of Missouri**

Resubmissions are a major part of our research awards. Across all agencies and C/S/D:

	2017	2018	2019
# of resubs submitted	63	53	52
# of resubs awarded	21	18	24
Amount	\$22M	\$24M	\$18M
Success rate	25%	25%	32%

\*\*At NIH, MU resubmission success rates 26 to 40 percent\*\*





### Note on private funders

- Not committed to transparency
- Often, no feedback or scoring provided
- Grant opportunities vary more year to year
- May have limits on resubmissions (e.g., wait a year or a cycle)





### It Takes More Than One Time!

- Grant applications are a REPEAT process.
- Resubmitting is the norm. Our #1 TIP is to <u>resubmit</u> your proposal!
- Proposals are triaged if guidelines not met, not reviewed.
- Great research ideas are not enough. Check details and address grantsmanship on resubmissions



### **Questions?**







### **Common Problems with Proposals...**

- Lack of new or original ideas
- Shaky reasoning in research approach
- Superficial or unfocused research plan
- Not enough detail to explain the project
- Lack of knowledge of published relevant work
- Lack of experience
- No discussion of future funding/future of project





## **Steps to Resubmission**

- Read and re-read the reviews.
- Discuss proposal review with your research team.
- Make an appointment to call and talk to Program Officer.
- Talk to the Program Officer have RDN member, other team members on call to listen and to help take notes.
- Follow guidelines on resubmission often need to address reviewer comments.
- Work with your RDN member, grant staff, and OSPA.
- Have several internal and external reviewers BEFORE resubmit.





- **Problem:** Proposal is too ambitious; scope of work is too large to complete.
- Solution: Simplify the project. You may need to ask for funding for only one piece. Then pursue funding for the next piece. Develop a strong timeline of the overall project and indicate the key components.





- Problem: Principal Investigator lacks experience.
- Solution: Add collaborators with experience as Co-PIs or to an Advisory Board. Add wording to show that experienced collaborators will mentor you throughout project.





- **Problem:** Use of funds does not appear to be appropriate.
- Solution: A good budget is essential to receiving an award. Budgets need to be reasonable, consistent with allowable categories, be allocable (easy to assign/charge), and consistent with University policy. Work with your grants person. Write a strong budget justification that tells the story of the numbers in enough detail that reviewers understand.





- **Problem:** Reviewers critique methodology and are skeptical of the success of project.
- Solution: Usually this means there is not enough detail. Get reviewers to help flesh out the details. Add *who, what, when, where, and how* to narrative.





# When NOT to Resubmit

#### Clear

- Reviewers or Program Officer tell you NOT to resubmit
- You have reached the limit of resubmission opportunities

#### Not as clear

- Application does not fit the call or a revised call
- Idea has already been funded by funder (see past awards)
- Methods are not suitable for testing your hypothesis
- Data is not strong or not compelling





### **Questions?**











Research Development Network University of Missouri



### Resources

- <u>https://www.chronicle.com/article/10-Tips-for-Successful-Grant/242535</u>
- <u>https://www.nordp.org/assets/resources-docs/porter-academicshardtime.pdf</u>
- <u>https://www.niaid.nih.gov/grants-contracts/revise-resubmit-application</u>
- <u>https://www.nsf.gov/pubs/policydocs/pappg20\_1/index.jsp</u>
- <u>https://cadrek12.org/sites/default/files/Tips%20for%20Developing%20N</u> <u>SF%20Proposals\_0.pdf</u>
- <u>https://www.wiley.com/en-us/Grant+Seeking+in+Higher+Education%3A+Strategies+and+Tools+for</u> +College+Faculty-p-9781118192474



