

Assessing the Match: Go/No-Go?

| | | Decision Criteria | | | | | | | | | | |
|-------------|--|--|--|------------|--|---|-------------|---------|---|--|----|-------|
| | Factors | Negative | | | Neutral | | | | Positive | | | Score |
| | | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | |
| The Project | Will project activities fit with our mission, values, goals, and objectives? | Activities fall outside our mission and plan | | | Activities match minor elements of the mission and plan | | | | Activities will help fulfill important priorities of the mission and plan | | | |
| | Is there credible in-house expertise to do the work? | Expertise is weak or this is a totally new area for the organization | | | Organization has average expertise in this area | | | | Organization has strong expertise and on-the-ground experience in this area | | | |
| | Will there be partnering consultants or organizations involved in the project? | Partners have not been contacted yet or working relationships have not yet been formed | | | Partners are on board, but will not have an effect on the quality of the project | | | | Partners are on board and will enhance the quality of the project | | | |
| | What organizational resources will the project require? | | ant investr tional resored | | A marginal investment of organizational resources will be required; organizational resources will be balanced by funder resources to be received | | | | The project will require only minimal organizational resources, which will be outweighed by funder resources to be received | | | |
| | What will be the return for clients on the organization's investment in this proposal and project? | Poor long- and short-term return on investment in benefits to clients | | | Some short- and/or long-term benefits to clients, but benefits are not key to the organization's mission or plan | | | | Excellent short- and long-term benefits for clients, and benefits align well with the organization's mission and plan | | | |
| | How will stakeholders respond to this project? | Key stakeholders are likely to oppose this project or an association with this funder | | | Key stakeholders are likely to be neutral about the project and the funder | | | | Key stakeholders are enthusiastic about this project and working with this funder | | | |
| The Odds | How well prepared is the organization to respond to this particular RFP? | The staff did not expect the RFP and the guidelines are not clear | | | enough o | The staff anticipated the RFP but not in enough detail to begin to position the organization to respond | | | | The staff anticipated the RFP and the organization is well-positioned to respond | | |
| | How much work will be involved in preparing the proposal? | Organization does not have the staff time to respond adequately or the funds to hire a grant writer | | | Proposal preparation will place stress on personnel, but the organization can prepare an adequate response | | | | The organization has the staff time to prepare a highly competitive proposal and/or the funds to hire a grant writer to do so | | | |
| | How stiff is the competition expected to be? | Competition will be very strong and/or there will be very few awards; odds of receiving funding are probably less than 10% | | | The competition is relatively open and there will be a reasonable number of awards; odd of receiving funding are probably in the 10–50% range | | | | The competition is weak relative to our project and many awards will be made; odd of receiving funding exceed 50% | | | |
| | How familiar is the organization with this funding agency? | Organization is unknown to this agency and its staff | | | and its st | Organization is known to this agency and its staff | | | | Organization has well-developed working relationships with this agency | | |
| | Total Score | project is | nis proposa likely to si little returr | phon staff | there is e | nis project s extra time a for the pro ork | nd staff ex | pertise | 71-100: (| Go for it! | | |